arXiv:1408.6000v1 [math.NT] 26 Aug 2014

NORMAL EQUIVALENCIES FOR EVENTUALLY PERIODIC BASIC SEQUENCES

DYLAN AIREY AND BILL MANCE

Abstract. W. M. Schmidt, A. D. Pollington, and F. Schweiger have studied when normality with respect to one expansion is equivalent to normality with respect to another expansion. Following in their footsteps, we show that when Q is an eventually periodic basic sequence, that Q-normality and Q-distribution normality are equivalent to normality in base b where b is dependent on Q. We also show that boundedness of the basic sequence is not sufficient for this equivalence.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{N}(b)$ be the set of *normal numbers in base b*. We say that two natural numbers r and s are *equivalent*, or $r \sim s$, if $\frac{\log r}{\log s}$ is rational. W. M. Schmidt showed the following in [12].

Theorem 1.1 (W. M. Schmidt, 1960)**.** *We have $\mathcal{N}(r) = \mathcal{N}(s)$ if and only if $r \sim s$. If $r \not\sim s$, then $\mathcal{N}(r) \setminus \mathcal{N}(s)$ is uncountable.*

A. D. Pollington strengthened W. M. Schmidt's result in [8].

Theorem 1.2 (A. D. Pollington, 1981)**.** *Given any partition of the numbers $2, 3, \cdots$ into two disjoint classes R and S such that equivalent numbers fall in the same class, the set $\mathcal{N}$ of numbers which are normal to every base from R and to no base from S has Hausdorff dimension 1.*

A *number-theoretic transformation* T is defined by describing its action on at most countably many subsets $I(k) \subset [0,1]$ with $\bigcup I(k) = [0,1]$ and $\lambda(I(k) \cap I(j)) = 0$ if $k \neq j$. A condition is also placed on the Jacobian of T to ensure that T is ergodic and that there is a unique T-invariant probabilty measure μ that is absolutely continuous with respect to λ (this condition can be found in [13]). *Cylinder sets* $I(k_1, \cdots, k_n)$ are defined recursively by $I(k_1, \cdots, k_n) = T(k_1)^{-1} I(k_2, \cdots, k_n)$. We say that $x \in [0,1]$ is *T-normal* if for every cylinder set $E = I(k_1, \cdots, k_n)$ we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{A_N(E, (T^n x))}{N} = \mu(E)$$

where $A_N(E, (x_n)) = \#\{n \leq N : x_n \in E\}$ for a sequence of real numbers (x_n) and an interval E. This is equivalent to the condition that the sequence $(T^n x)$ is μ-uniformly distributed mod 1. For a number-theoretic transformation T, let $\mathcal{N}(T)$ be the set of normal numbers with respect to T. Generalizations of Theorem 1.1 have been considered for T-normal numbers. J. Vandehey [16] presented a corrected proof of a result announced by F. Schweiger in [13].

Research of the authors is partially supported by the U.S. NSF grant DMS-0943870. The authors thank Joseph Vandehey for helpful discussions.

Theorem 1.3 (F. Schweiger, 1969 and J. Vandehey, 2014)**.** *For any ergodic number-theoretic transformation $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{N}(T^n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

J. Vandehey also showed the equivalence of normality with respect to the regular continued fraction expansion and with respect to the odd continued fraction expansion. C. Kraaikamp and H. Nakada [5] answered a conjecture of F. Schweiger [13] on normal numbers.

Theorem 1.4 (C. Kraaikamp and H. Nakada, 2001)**.** *There exist number-theoretic transformations S and T such that $\mathcal{N}(S) = \mathcal{N}(T)$ and such that there are no positive integers m and n with $S^m = T^n$.*

We will extend W. M. Schmidt's result to Cantor series expansions, a generalization of b-ary expansions. The study of normal numbers and other statistical properties of real numbers with respect to large classes of Cantor series expansions was first done by P. Erdős and A. Rényi in [2] and [3] and by A. Rényi in [9], [10], and [11] and by P. Turán in [15].

The Q-Cantor series expansions, first studied by G. Cantor in [1], are a natural generalization of the b-ary expansions.[1] A basic sequence is a sequence of integers greater than or equal to 2. Given a basic sequence $Q = (q_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the *Q-Cantor series expansion* of a real number x is the (unique)[2] expansion of the form

$$x = E_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_n}{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n} \tag{1.1}$$

where $E_0 = \lfloor x \rfloor$ and E_n is in $\{0, 1, \cdots, q_n - 1\}$ for $n \geq 1$ with $E_n \neq q_n - 1$ infinitely often. We abbreviate (1.1) with the notation $x = E_0.E_1E_2E_3\cdots$ w.r.t. Q.

For a basic sequence $Q = (q_n)$, a block $B = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_k)$, and a natural number j, define

$$\mathcal{I}_{Q,j}(B) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_1 < q_j, b_2 < q_{j+1}, \cdots, b_k < q_{j+k-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let

$$Q_n(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{Q,j}(B)}{q_j q_{j+1} \cdots q_{j+k-1}}.$$

We also define

$$T_{Q,n}(x) = q_n q_{n-1} \cdots q_1 x \pmod 1.$$

A. Rényi [10] defined a real number x to be *normal* with respect to Q if for all blocks B of length 1,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n^Q(B, x)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1/q_i} = 1, \tag{1.2}$$

where $N_n^Q(B, x)$ is the number of occurences of the block B in the sequence $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ of the first n digits in the Q-Cantor series expansion of x. If $q_n = b$ for all n and we restrict B to consist of only digits less than b, then (1.2) is equivalent to *simple normality in base b*, but not equivalent to normality in base b.

[1] G. Cantor's motivation to study the Cantor series expansions was to extend the well known proof of the irrationality of the number $e = \sum 1/n!$ to a larger class of numbers. Results along these lines may be found in the monograph of J. Galambos [4].

[2] Uniqueness can be proven in the same way as for the b-ary expansions.

A great deal of information about the b-ary expansion of a real number x may be obtained by studying the distributional properties of the sequence $(b^n x)_{n=0}^{\infty}$. For example, it is well known that a real number x is normal in base b if and only if the sequence $(b^n x)$ is uniformly distributed mod 1.

A real number x is *Q-normal* if[3] for all blocks B such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_n(B) = \infty$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n^Q(B,x)}{Q_n(B)} = 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(Q)$ be the set of Q-normal numbers. A real number x is *Q-distribution normal* if the sequence $(T_{Q,n}(x))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1. Let $\mathcal{DN}(Q)$ be the set of Q-distribution normal numbers.

Note that in base b, where $q_n = b$ for all n, the corresponding notions of Q-normality and Q-distribution normality are equivalent. This equivalence is fundamental in the study of normality in base b. Note that Q-normality and Q-distribution normality are not equivalent for all basic sequences [7].

For an eventually periodic basic sequence Q, we define a *period* of Q to be a tuple $(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m)$ such that Q can be written as

$$(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_k, \overline{c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m}).$$

The main goal of this paper will be to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let Q be an eventually periodic sequence with period $(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m)$. Set $b = \prod_{i=1}^{m} c_i$ and suppose that $g \sim b$. Then for any real number x, the following are equivalent:*

1. *x is normal in base g*
2. *x is Q-normal*
3. *x is Q-distribution normal*

Note that Theorem 1.5 is an extension of one direction of Schmidt's result to Cantor series expansions. Some of the ideas in J. Vandehey's proof of Theorem 1.3 were used in the proof of Theorem 1.5. We also prove the following theorem which shows some limitations on how much Theorem 1.5 can be generalized.

Theorem 1.6. *For every real number x and integer $g \geq 2$, there exists a basic sequence $Q = (q_n)$ where $q_n = g^{k_n}$ for some sequence of natural numbers (k_n) such that $x \notin \mathcal{N}(Q) \cup \mathcal{DN}(Q)$. Thus there exists a basic sequence $Q = (q_n)$ where $q_n = g^{k_n}$ for some sequence of natural numbers (k_n) such that $\mathcal{N}(g) \neq \mathcal{N}(Q)$ and $\mathcal{N}(g) \neq \mathcal{DN}(Q)$.*

2. Proofs

We will need the following theorem due to I. I. Shapiro-Pyatetskii in [14].

Theorem 2.1 (I. I. Shapiro-Pyatetskii, 1951)**.** *If there exists a constant C such that for every interval $E \subseteq [0,1]$ we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\{i < n : b^i x \in E\}}{n} \leq C\lambda(E)$$

then x is normal in base b.

[3]We choose to take a slightly different definition for Q-normality than is used elsewhere in the literature. Our definition is more appropriate for bounded basic sequences.

Lemma 2.2. *If $Q = (d_1, \cdots, d_k, \overline{c_1, \cdots, c_m})$ and $P = (\overline{c_1, \cdots, c_m})$, then x is Q-normal (resp. Q-distribution normal) if and only if $d_1 \cdots d_k x$ is P-normal (resp. P-distribution normal).*

Proof. Let B be a block of length ℓ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_n(B) = \infty$. If $x = 0.E_1E_2\cdots$ w.r.t. Q, then

$$d_1 \cdots d_k x \pmod 1 = T_{Q,k}(x) = 0.E_{k+1}E_{k+2}\cdots \text{ w.r.t. } P.$$

Thus $N_n^P(B, d_1\cdots d_k x) = N_n^Q(B, x) + O(1)$. Furthermore,

$$Q_n(B) = P_n(B) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\mathcal{I}_i(B)}{q_i \cdots q_{i+\ell-1}} = P_n(B) + O(1).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n^P(B, d_1\cdots d_k x)}{P_n(B)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n^Q(B,x) + O(1)}{Q_n(B) + O(1)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n^Q(B,x)}{Q_n(B)},$$

so $d_1\cdots d_k x$ is P-normal if and only if x is Q-normal.

Note that $T_{P,n}(d_1\cdots d_k x) = T_{Q,n+k}(x)$. Thus, the sequence $(T_{Q,n}(x))$ is u.d. mod 1 if and only if $(T_{P,n}(d_1\cdots d_k x))$ is u.d. mod 1. □

Define

$$J_r(B) = \left[\frac{b_1c_{r+1}\cdots c_{r+k-1} + \cdots + b_k}{c_rc_{r+1}\cdots c_{r+k-1}}, \frac{b_1c_{r+1}\cdots c_{r+k-1} + \cdots + b_k + 1}{c_rc_{r+1}\cdots c_{r+k-1}}\right)$$

if $\mathcal{I}_{Q,r}(B) = 1$ and $\emptyset$ if $\mathcal{I}_{Q,r}(B) = 0$ for $0 \le r < m$. We may now prove Theorem 1.5.

Suppose that Q can be written as $(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_k, \overline{c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m})$. By Lemma 2.2, x is Q-normal (resp. Q-distribution normal) if and only if $d_1\cdots d_k x$ is P-normal (resp. P-distribution normal), where $P = (\overline{c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m})$. Similarly, x is normal in base b if and only if $d_1\cdots d_k x$ is normal in base b. Thus we need only show equivalence of normality for periodic basic sequences. The same argument holds for Q-distribution normality. By Theorem 1.1 we have that $\mathcal{N}(g) = \mathcal{N}(b)$, so we only need to show that $\mathcal{N}(Q) = \mathcal{N}(b)$.

Thus, we may assume that Q is periodic, with period $(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_m)$ of minimal length. If $m = 1$, then we are in the b-ary case so the statements hold trivially. Thus we may assume that $m > 1$.

- (1) $\implies$ (3). Suppose that x is normal in base b. Then the sequence $(b^n x)$ is u.d. mod 1. Note that the sequence $(T_{Q,n}(x))$ can be decomposed into the subsequences $(T_{Q,mn+r}(x))$ for $0 \le r < m$, and each subsequence $(T_{Q,mn+r}(x))$ can be rewritten as $(b^n c_1c_2\cdots c_r x)$. Since $c_1c_2\cdots c_r x$ is an integer multiple of a number that is normal in base b, the real number $c_1c_2\cdots c_r x$ is normal in base b, so $(T_{Q,mn+r}(x))$ is u.d. mod 1. Thus, the sequence $(T_{Q,n}(x))$ is u.d. mod 1, so x is Q-distribution normal.
- (3) $\implies$ (1). Suppose $(T_{Q,n}(x))$ is u.d. mod 1. Then for any interval $E \subseteq [0,1]$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\{i \le n : b^i x \in E\}}{n} \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\{i < mn : T_{Q,i}(x) \in E\}}{n}$$
$$\le m \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\{i \le mn : T_{Q,i}(x) \in E\}}{mn} = m\lambda(E).$$

Thus by Theorem 2.1, we have $(b^n x)$ is u.d. mod 1, so x is normal in base b.

- (1) $\implies$ (2). Suppose that x is normal in base b. Let $B = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_k)$ be a block of digits of length k. The block B occurs at position n in x if and only if

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{E_i}{c_1 \cdots c_i} + \frac{b_1}{c_1 \cdots c_n} + \frac{b_2}{c_1 \cdots c_{n+1}} + \cdots + \frac{b_k}{c_1 \cdots c_{n+k-1}} + \sum_{i=n+k}^{\infty} \frac{E_i}{c_1 \cdots c_i}$$

is the Q-Cantor series expansion of x. That is, if $n \equiv r \mod m$, then $T_{Q,n-1}(x) \in J_r(B)$. Thus

$$N_n^Q(B,x) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} A_{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}(J_r(B), (T_{Q,mn+r}(x))) + O(1). \tag{2.1}$$

Since x is normal in base b, the sequence $(b^n x)$ is u.d. mod 1. By (2.1)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n^Q(B,x)}{n} = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}{n} \cdot \frac{A_{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}(J_r(B), (T_{Q,mn+r}(x)))}{\lfloor n/m \rfloor}$$
$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \lambda(J_r(B)).$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{Q_n(B)}{n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{I}_i(B)}{c_i c_{i+1} \cdots c_{i+k-1}}$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{m} \right\rfloor \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \frac{\mathcal{I}_r(B)}{c_r \cdots c_{r+k-1}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \lambda(J_r(B)).$$

Thus we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n^Q(B,x)}{Q_n(B)} = 1$, so x is Q-normal.

- (2) $\implies$ (1). Suppose that x is Q-normal. Since $m > 1$, there is an infinite family of blocks $\mathcal{B}$ which can only occur at positions $n \equiv 0 \mod m$. For example, consider blocks of the form

$$(c_m - 1, c_1 - 1, \cdots c_{m-1} - 1, b_{m+1}, \cdots, b_k).$$

Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then for $r \neq 0$, we have that $J_r(B) = \emptyset$, so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n(J_0(B), (b^i x))}{n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n(J_0(B), (T_{Q,mi}(x)))}{n}$$
$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_{mn}^Q(B,x)}{n} = \lambda(J_0(B)).$$

We will show that the union of all intervals of the form $J_0(B)$ has full measure. To do this, let

$$\mathcal{C} = [0,1] \setminus \bigcup_{B\in\mathcal{B}} J_0(B).$$

Let $C_0 = [0,1]$ and define recursively

$$C_i = \{x \in C_{i-1} : E_{mi+r} \neq c_r - 1 \text{ for some } 0 \leq r < m\}.$$

Then $\lambda(C_i) = (1 - \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_m})\lambda(C_{i-1})$, so $\lambda(\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i) = 0$. But these C_i's are the sets of real numbers that do not contain the block $\overline{B} = (c_m - 1, c_1 - 1, \cdots, c_{m-1} - 1)$ at position mi. Thus $\mathcal{C} \subset \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i$, so $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = 0$.

Let $E \subseteq [0,1]$ be an interval. Since x is Q-normal, any block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ must occur infinitely often, so $T_{Q,n}(x) \notin \mathcal{C}$ for all n. So for all n, we have that $A_n(E,(T_{Q,mn}(x))) = A_n(E-\mathcal{C},(T_{Q,mn}(x)))$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{C}$ is a null set, for each $\epsilon > 0$ we can find finitely many blocks $(A_i)_{i=1}^k, (B_j)_{j=1}^\ell \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} J_0(A_i) \subset E - \mathcal{C} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} J_0(B_j) \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda(E-\mathcal{C}) + \epsilon > \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} B_j\right) \geq \lambda\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} J_0(A_i)\right) > \lambda(E-\mathcal{C}) - \epsilon.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n(E-\mathcal{C},(b^i x))}{n} &\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k J_0(A_i),(b^i x)\right)}{n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda(J_0(A_i)) > \lambda(E-\mathcal{C}) - \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n(E-\mathcal{C},(b^i x))}{n} < \lambda(E-\mathcal{C}) + \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n(E-\mathcal{C},(b^i x))}{n} = \lambda(E-\mathcal{C}).$$

Finally, since $(T_{Q,n}(x))$ never lies in $\mathcal{C}$, we have that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n(E,(b^i x))}{n} = \lambda(E-\mathcal{C}) = \lambda(E),$$

so $(b^i x)$ is u.d. mod 1. Thus x is normal in base b.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Set $Q = (g^2, g^2, g^2, \cdots)$ and let $x = .d_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots$ w.r.t. Q be a real number. If x is not Q-normal (and therefore not Q-distribution normal), then we are done. If x is Q-normal (and therefore Q-distribution normal), then construct a new basic sequence P as follows[4]. If $d_n = g^2 - 1$, put $p_{n+N_n^Q(g^2-1,x)} = g$ and $p_{n+N_n^Q(g^2-1,x)+1} = g$. If $d_n \neq g^2 - 1$, put $p_{n+N_n^Q(g^2-1,x)} = g^2$.

Note that if $x = .e_1 e_2 e_3 \cdots$ w.r.t. P, then $e_i \neq g^2 - 1$ for all i. Since x is Q-normal (and therefore Q-distribution normal) x is not P-normal as $p_n = g^2$ infinitely often and P is bounded. Consider the interval $[0, 2/g)$. Note that $T_{P,i}(x) \in E$ if and only if $e_i < 2$ when $p_i = g$ or $e_i < 2g$ when $p_i = g^2$. Thus

$$A_n(E,(T_{P,i}(x))) = \sum_{j=0}^{2g-1} N_n^Q(j,x) + 2N_n^Q(g^2-1,x).$$

Since x is Q-normal we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n(E,(T_{P,i}(x)))}{n} = (2g-1)\frac{1}{g^2} + \frac{2}{g^2} = \frac{2g+1}{g^2} \neq \frac{2}{g}.$$

[4]For example, if $g = 2$ and $x = 0.132113\cdots$ w.r.t. Q, then $P = (4,2,2,4,4,4,2,2,\cdots)$.

Thus x is not P-distribution normal. □

It should be noted that Theorem 1.6 follows immediately from Theorem 1.2.5 in P. Lafer's dissertation [6] but we prefer to give a constructive proof.

3. Further questions

Problem 3.1. For which pairs of basic sequences (P, Q) does $\mathcal{N}(Q) = \mathcal{N}(P)$ or $\mathcal{DN}(Q) = \mathcal{DN}(P)$?

Problem 3.2. For which pairs (Q, b) of a basic sequence and an integer greater than or equal to 2 does $\mathcal{N}(Q) = \mathcal{N}(b)$ or $\mathcal{DN}(Q) = \mathcal{N}(b)$?

Problem 3.3. For which basic sequences Q does $\mathcal{N}(Q) = \mathcal{DN}(Q)$?

Problem 3.4. For which basic sequences Q does there is exist a number-theoretic transformation T with $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{DN}(Q)$?

Problem 3.5. Is there a basic sequence Q such that $\mathcal{DN}(Q)$ is the set of real numbers normal with respect to the regular continued fraction expansion?

References

1. G. Cantor, *Über die einfachen Zahlensysteme*, Zeitschrift für Math. und Physik **14** (1869), 121–128.
2. P. Erdős and A. Rényi, *On Cantor's series with convergent* $\sum 1/q_n$, Annales Universitatis L. Eötvös de Budapest, Sect. Math. (1959), 93–109.
3. ———, *Some further statistical properties of the digits in Cantor's series*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar **10** (1959), 21–29.
4. J. Galambos, *Representations of real numbers by infinite series*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 502, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Hiedelberg, New York, 1976.
5. C. Kraaikamp and H. Nakada, *On a Problem of Schweiger Concerning Normal Numbers*, J. Number Theory **2** (2001), 330–340.
6. P. Lafer, *Normal numbers with respect to Cantor series representation*, Ph.D. thesis, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington, 1974.
7. B. Mance, *Number theoretic applications of a class of Cantor series fractal functions, I*, To appear in Acta Math. Hungar. (2014).
8. A. D. Pollington, *The Hausdorff dimension of a set of normal numbers*, Pacific J. Math. **95** (1981), no. 1, 193–204.
9. A. Rényi, *On a new axiomatic theory of probability*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **6** (1955), 329–332.
10. ———, *On the distribution of the digits in Cantor's series*, Mat. Lapok **7** (1956), 77–100.
11. ———, *Probabilistic methods in number theory*, Shuxue Jinzhan **4** (1958), 465–510.
12. W.M. Schmidt, *On normal numbers*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 661–672.
13. F. Schweiger, *Normalität bezüglich zahlentheoretischer transformationen*, J. Number Theory **1** (1969), 390–397.
14. I. I. Shapiro-Pyatetskii, *On the laws of distribution of the fractional parts of an exponential function*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **15:1** (1951), 47–52.
15. P. Turán, *On the distribution of "digits" in Cantor systems*, Mat. Lapok **7** (1956), 71–76.
16. J. Vandehey, *On the joint normality of certain digit expansions*, arXiv:1408.0435.

(D. Airey) Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Austin, TX 78712-1202, USA

E-mail address: dylan.airey@utexas.edu

(B. Mance) Department of Mathematics, University of North Texas, General Academics Building 435, 1155 Union Circle, #311430, Denton, TX 76203-5017, USA

E-mail address: mance@unt.edu